T2R

University of Saskatchewan Renewal of Probation Recommendation

Year:

	_	-	and Science, Edwards ege of Veterinary Medi		ss, Dentistry, Educa	ition, Engi	neering, Medicine,	
Name:			Depa	Department:		Colleg	College:	
Employ	ree ID#							
Recorded Vote of Department Renewals and Tenure Committee (Number):								
Vote:	Yes:	No:	Abstentions:	Absent:	On Leave:		Excluded: (Conflict of Interest)	
Record	ed Vote of (College Re	eview Committee (Nu	mber):				
Vote:	Yes:	No:	Abstentions:	Absent:	On Leave:		Excluded: (Conflict of Interest)	
Standards Used:		Department Dated:		College Dated:		Unive	University Dated:	
Numbe	g Date: or of Eligible or of Membe or of Membe	rs for Qu	orum:					

Summary of Committee Evaluation: In the available boxes for each established

Please List Names of Members Department / College Review Committee:

In the available boxes for each category,	, record the committee vo	te or that the category is no	ot applicable.		
	Is Making Sufficient	Is Not Making	Not	Not	
	Progress Towards	Sufficient Progress	Applicable	Required	
	Meeting the	Towards Meeting the		_	
	Standard	Standard			
1. Academic Credentials					
Evidence Considered: ¹					
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³					
2. Teaching Ability and Performance					
Evidence Considered: ¹					
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³					
3. Knowledge of Discipline and					

		1				
Area of Specialization						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Rationale with Reference to Approp	riate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³						
4. Research, Scholarly, or						
Artistic Work						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Zyrachice Compract Cut						
Rationale with Reference to Approp	riate Standards· ²					
Rationale with Reference to Approp	rate Standards.					
Minority View: ³						
willionty view.						
5. Practice of						
Professional Skills						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Evidence Considered:						
Rationale with Reference to Approp	wiata Standards. ²					
Kationale with Kelerence to Approp	riate Standards.					
Min onite Vicens						
Minority View: ³						
		T	I			
6a. Contributions to						
Administrative						
Responsibilities						
Evidence Considered:1						
Rationale with Reference to Approp	riate Standards: ²					
751 11 771 2						
Minority View: ³						
	1		T			
6b. Contribution to Extension						
Responsibilities						
Evidence Considered:1						
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²						
Minority View: ³						
			T			
7. Public Service and						
Contributions to Academic						
and Professional Bodies						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²						
Minority View: ³						

Evidence and Rationale for Evaluations:

- For the evidence section please specify the methods of evaluation and summarize the aspects evaluated as required by the appropriate department, college, and university standards.
- With respect to rationale please refer to the appropriate department, college, and university standards. Rationale statements should represent majority views for evaluation in each required category.
- Where applicable.

Date:	Committee Chair:	Signature:

Forward this form, accompanied by the up-to-date case file and other documentation for consideration to the Provost and Vice President Academic.

If the recommendation is negative, include a copy of the statement of reasons sent to the candidate, as required by Article 16.5.3.

Retain a copy for reference.