T2

University of Saskatchewan Tenure Recommendation

Year:

(Colleges of Agriculture, Arts and Science, Edwards School of Business, Education, Engineering, Medicine, and the Western College of Veterinary Medicine)

Westeri	n College of	Veterinary 1	Medicine)					
Name:		Department:			College:			
Tenure		Continuing Status Employee ID#						
Record	Recorded Vote of Department Renewals and Tenure Committee (Number):							
Vote:	Yes:	No:	Abstentions:	Absent:	On Leave:	Excluded: (Conflict of Interest)		
Recorded Vote of College Review Committee (Number):								
Vote:	Yes:	No:	Abstentions:	Absent:	On Leave:	Excluded: (Conflict of Interest)		
		,					_	
Standards Used:		Department Dated:		College Dated:		University Dated:		
Numbe Numbe	er of Eligible er of Membe er of Membe	rs for Quo rs Present:	rum:	llege Review Comm	ittee:			

Summary of Committee Evaluation:

	Meets the Standard at a Superior Level	Meets the Standard	Does not Meet the Standard	Not Applicable	Not Required
1. Academic Credentials					
Evidence Considered:1	I	1			
Rationale with Reference to Appr	opriate Standards: ²				
Minority View: ³					
2. Teaching Ability and Performance	opriate Standards: ²				

3. Knowledge of Discipline and						
Area of Specialization						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Rationale with Reference to Appropri	ate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³						
4. Research, Scholarly, or Artistic Work						
Evidence Considered: ¹	I			<u> </u>		
Rationale with Reference to Appropri	ate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³						
5. Practice of Professional Skills						
Evidence Considered: ¹		1	1	1		
Rationale with Reference to Appropri	ate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³						
6a. Contributions to						
Administrative Responsibilities						
Evidence Considered:1						
Rationale with Reference to Appropri	ate Standards: ²					
Minority View: ³						
6b. Contribution to Extension Responsibilities						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²						
Minority View: ³						
7. Public Service and						
Contributions to Academic and Professional Bodies						
Evidence Considered: ¹						
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²						
Minority View: ³						

Evidence and Rationale for Evaluations:

- For the evidence section please specify the methods of evaluation and summarize the aspects evaluated as required by the appropriate department, college, and university standards.
- With respect to rationale please refer to the appropriate department, college, and university standards. Rationale statements should represent majority views for evaluation in each required category.
- Where applicable.

Date:	Committee Chair:	Signature:

Forward this form, accompanied by the up-to-date case file and other documentation for consideration to the Provost and Vice President Academic.

If the recommendation is negative, include a copy of the statement of reasons sent to the candidate, as required by Article 15.11.4.

Retain a copy for reference.