T1

University of Saskatchewan Tenure Recommendation

Year:

(Departments in the Colleges of Agriculture, Arts and Science, Edwards School of Business, Education, Engineering, Medicine, Western College of Veterinary Medicine; and the non-departmentalized Colleges of Dentistry, Kinesiology, Law, Nursing, Pharmacy and Nutrition, and the University Library)

Law, Nursing, Pharmacy and Nutrition, and the University Library)										
Name:		Departr	Department:		College:					
Tenure Con		inuing Status	Employee ID#			-				
Recorded Vote of Department Vote: <i>Yes</i> : <i>No</i> :			newals and Tenuro Abstentions:	Absent:	On Leave:	Exclue	Excluded:			
							ict of Interest)			
Standards Used: Department L		Dated:	College Dated:		University Dated:					
Meeting Date: Number of Eligible Members: Number of Members for Quorum: Number of Members Present: Please List Names of Members Department / College Review Committee: Summary of Committee Evaluation: In the available boxes for each category, record the committee vote or that the category is not applicable.										
			Meets the Standard at a Superior Level	Meets the	Does not Meet the Standard	Not Applicable	Not Required			
1.	Academic C	redentials								
Evidence Considered: ¹										
Ratio	nale with Ref	erence to Appr	opriate Standards	.2						
Minority View: ³										
2.	Teaching Ab Performance	•								
Evide	nce Consider		I	l	l					
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²										
Minority View: ³										
3.	Knowledge of Area of Spec	of Discipline an	d							

Evidence Considered:1

D-4:14-D-64-A								
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Minority View: ³								
4. Research, Scholarly, or		<u> </u>						
Artistic Work								
Evidence Considered: ¹	<u> </u>							
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Kationale with Reference to Appropriate Stan	iuarus.							
Minority View: ³								
5. Practice of								
Professional Skills Evidence Considered: ¹								
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Minority View: ³								
6a. Contributions to								
Administrative Responsibilities								
Evidence Considered: ¹								
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Minority View: ³								
6b. Contribution to Extension								
Responsibilities Evidence Considered: ¹								
Evidence Considered:								
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Minority View: ³								
7. Public Service and								
Contributions to Academic and Professional Bodies								
Evidence Considered: ¹								
Rationale with Reference to Appropriate Standards: ²								
Minority View: ³								

Evidence and Rationale for Evaluations:

- 1. For the evidence section please specify the methods of evaluation and summarize the aspects evaluated as required by the appropriate department, college, and university standards.
- 2. With respect to rationale please refer to the appropriate department, college, and university standards. Rationale statements should represent majority views for evaluation in each required category.
- 3. Where applicable.

Date:	Committee Chair:	Signature:

Departments in the Colleges of Agriculture, Arts and Science, Edwards School of Business, Education, Engineering, Medicine and the Western College of Veterinary Medicine forward this form, accompanied by the up-to-date case file and other documentation for consideration to the College Dean.

The Colleges of Dentistry, Kinesiology, Law, Nursing, Pharmacy and Nutrition, and the University Library forward this form, accompanied by the up-to-date case file and other documentation for consideration to the Provost and Vice President Academic.

If the recommendation is negative, include a copy of the statement of reasons sent to the candidate, as required by Article 15.11.4.

Retain a copy for reference.